



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/613,229 07/07/2003		Yuji Murayama	107348-00354	7016	
4372	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER		
ARENT FO		JACKSON, ANDRE L			
SUITE 400	ECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/613,22		MURAYAMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Andre' L.	ackson	3677				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE MAILING C - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply If NO period for reply Failure to reply with Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIDATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION and be available under the provisions of 37 CF is from the mailing date of this communication is pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, or is specified above, the maximum statutory pen the set or extended period for reply will, by set of the state of the set of	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even. In a reply within the statueriod will apply and wistatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered times the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi∖	ve to communication(s) filed on 2	21 June 2005.						
2a) This action	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clai	ms							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	1							
·	cation is objected to by the Exa			aminas				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. • . • . •			
12) Acknowled a) All b) Cer 2. Cer 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for for Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority docur tified copies of the priority docur ties of the certified copies of the lication from the International Bu ached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Reference		o.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Date <u>6/16/2005</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Applicant's Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. In addition, applicant's amendment after final presented June 21, 2005 has been entered and recorded in the file. However, upon careful review and reconsideration of the prior art made of record as a whole, the Examiner believes the limitations presented in applicant's claims are made obvious by the combination of Lezuch et al and Zimmermann. Accordingly, claims 1-6 are found to be unpatentable over Lezuch et al in view of Zimmermann.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5862570 to Lezuch et al in view of USPN 4194265 to Zimmermann. Lezuch et al (Figs. 1-5) discloses check arm assembly for an automobile, comprising;

a case (50) secured to one of a body (16) of an automobile and a door (12) pivotally supported on the body for turning movement; a check plate (18) which movably extends through the case to be connected to the other of the body and the door and which is provided in its opposite sides with ball guide grooves (32, 34) extending in a lengthwise direction; a pair of ball

Art Unit: 3677

holders (62) accommodated in the case and capable of advancing and retracting toward and away from the opposite sides of the check plate; a pair of balls (68) which are retained in hemispherical ball housings formed in the ball holders and which are rollably engaged in the ball guide grooves; and a check spring (70) for biasing the ball holders toward the check plate, a recess (defined by the inner-open surface between flanges 66) for maintaining proper self lubricating properties between the balls relative to the ball housings. However, Lezuch et al fails to disclose that the recess retains grease within the recess, where the recess further accommodates a dust therein as claimed.

Zimmermann teaches a door holder for an automobile, including a case (101) secured to one of a body of an automobile and a door pivotally supported on the body for turning movement; a check plate (102) which movably extends through the case to be connected to the other of the body and door and which is provided at opposites sides with ball guide grooves (114) extending in a lengthwise direction; a pair of ball holders or ends (103, 124) accommodated in the case and capable of advancing and retracting toward and away from the guide grooves; a pair of balls (105) which are retained in hemispherical ball housings (109). A recess (115) is formed in an inner surface of each ball housing and retains a grease within the recess, the recess also accommodates a dust therein (col. 3, lines 62-67) via a hole (116) which assists to prevent friction between balls and grooves and avoiding in loss in sliding operation of the door holder. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the check arm assembly of Lezuch et al to include the door holder as taught by Zimmermann to provide an improved check arm assembly assisting in the prevention of abrasion

of the working parts during sliding movements while avoiding performance deficiency as a result of dirt and/or debris.

As to claims 4-6, Lezuch et al in view of Zimmermann discloses that the recess of the door holder device further defines a circular recess (116) portion located at a center of the inner peripheral surface of the ball housing and connected to the groove via an annular portion (at 115) of the groove disposed near the ball guide grooves (Fig. 2). The annular portion of the recess is closed from the ball guide groove by a corresponding ball being placed in contact with the inner peripheral surface of the ball housing and at each side of the annular portion of the recess as seen in Fig. 2, convex grooves extend between and connect with two points on an outer peripheral surface of the ball which define distances from the ball guide grooves.

As to claims 2 and 3, Lezuch et al discloses that the recess has portions (64) extending in a lengthwise direction forming a triangular configuration as seen in Fig. 5, where outer ends are corners of the recess portions is closed by contact between a respective ball and inner peripheral surface (62) of the ball housing.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 filed in the after final amendment of June 21, 2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As a result, Lezuch et al in view of Zimmermann have been applied in combination to meet the limitations claimed. Accordingly, claims 1-6 are found to be unpatentable over the prior art of record as an obvious-type rejection.

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' L. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7067. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (9:30 am - 6 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André L. Jackson Patent Examiner

AU 3677

ALJ

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER